

**DRAWING AMENDMENTS**

Three replacement drawing sheets, comprising Figures 1-3, are included with this amendment. The drawing changes are further discussed in the **REMARKS** section.

**REMARKS****Drawing Objections**

The drawing objection related to the recitation of an aspirative fire detection device in Claims 29-34 has been addressed by the deletion of the phrase “in particular an aspirative fire detection device” from these claims.

In addition, Reference number “15” has been removed from Figure 2, and the word ‘Figur” on each drawing sheet has been replaced with ‘Fig.” .

**Specification Objection**

The specification objection has been addressed by the addition of a new paragraph stating that in a preferred embodiment of the invention, the high-pressure pipe consists of a fiber-reinforced composite and has a pressure capacity of 300-700 bar. This adds no new matter, because both of these features were recited in the original claims. Specifically, original Claim 2 recited the fiber-reinforced composite, and original Claim 3 recited the pressure capacity of 300-700 bar. Of note, current Claims 11 and 12 are merely replacements for original Claims 2 and 3, and contain exactly the same language.

**Minor Claim Suggestions**

Applicant thanks Examiner for his claim suggestions, and has adopted them by amending the claims in various places.

**Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112**

The indefiniteness rejections have been addressed by the following:

- Claim 1 has been amended to define that the high-pressure pipe has two opposite head sections, and this provides proper antecedency for the “whereby each head section” phrase later in the claim. This amendment is supported by the original specification and drawings.
- Claims 29-34 have been amended to delete the phrase ‘in particular an aspirative fire detection device’. This deletion addresses the indefiniteness rejection, and also the drawing objection as discussed above.
- Claim 42 has been canceled.

### **CONCLUSION**

For all the above reasons, Applicant submits that the claims are in proper form, and that the claims all define patentably over the prior art. Applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully requests such allowance.

Respectfully,

/John A. Galbreath/  
John A. Galbreath  
Reg. #46,718  
Galbreath Law Offices, P.C.  
2516 Chestnut Woods Court  
Reisterstown, MD 21136  
Tel. (410) 628-7770

Certificate of Electronic Transmission: I certify that on the date below, this document and referenced attachments, if any, was submitted to the U.S. Patent Office via its EFS-Web system.

29 November 2007

John A. Galbreath  
John A. Galbreath